





Course: CPO2002-0002.su22: INTRO COMPARATV GOVT













Course: CPO2002-0002.su22: INTRO COMPARATV GOVT




6 - Harley Roe provided cle	ar expectat	ions for the	course													
Response Option		Weig	ht Frequ	ency	Percent	F	Percent Ro	es	ponses			Mea	ans			
Strongly Agree		(5)	12	2	75.00%					4.50		4.60	4.50			
Agree		(4)	2	!	12.50%											
Neutral		(3)	0	1	0.00%	1										
Disagree		(2)	2	!	12.50%											
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0)	0.00%	1										
						0	25	50	100	Question	า	Department	University	/		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	D	epartment		Mean		STD	Median		University	Mean	S	TD	Median
16/22 (72.73%)	4.50	1.03	5.00		211		4.60		0.75	5.00		26783	4.50	0.	.85	5.00

7 - Harley Roe communicate	ed effective	y									
Response Option		Weigh	t Frequer	icy Percent	Percent R	Responses		Ме	ans		
Strongly Agree		(5)	13	81.25%			4.69	4.63	4.45		
Agree		(4)	2	12.50%							
Neutral		(3)	0	0.00%]						
Disagree		(2)	1	6.25%							
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%]						
				•	0 25	50 100	Questio	n Department	University	у	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
16/22 (72.73%)	4.69	0.79	5.00	209	4.63	0.69	5.00	26791	4.45	0.93	5.00





Course: CPO2002-0002.su22: INTRO COMPARATV GOVT



10 - Harley Roe demonstrat	ed respect	for studen	ts													
Response Option		Weig	ht Frequ	iency	Percent	F	Percent R	esp	onses			Me	ans			
Strongly Agree		(5)	1:	3	81.25%					4.81		4.77	4.61			
Agree		(4)	3	3	18.75%											
Neutral		(3)	0)	0.00%	1										
Disagree		(2)	0)	0.00%	1										
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0)	0.00%	1										
		·				0	25	50	100	Question	1	Department	University	y		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median		Department		Mean		STD	Median		University	Mean	ST	ſD	Median
16/22 (72.73%)	4.81	0.40	5.00		210		4.77		0.50	5.00		26644	4.61	0.7	74	5.00

11 - Harley Roe demonstrate	ed mastery	of the subje	ct matter								
Response Option		Weight	Frequenc	Percent	Percent R	esponses		Ме	ans		
Strongly Agree		(5)	13	81.25%			4.81	4.67	4.60	1	
Agree		(4)	3	18.75%							
Neutral		(3)	0	0.00%]						
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%]						
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%]						
			•		0 25	50 100	Question	n Department	University	/	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
16/22 (72.73%)	4.81	0.40	5.00	210	4.67	0.63	5.00	26700	4.60	0.75	5.00

12 - Overall course content	rating.															
Response Option		Weig	ht Frequ	ency	Percent	Р	ercent R	esp	onses			Me	ans			
Excellent		(5)	13	3	81.25%					4.69		4.40	4.25			
Above Satisfactory		(4)	1		6.25%											
Satisfactory		(3)	2	2	12.50%											
Below Satisfactory		(2)	0)	0.00%											
Poor		(1)	0)	0.00%	1										
						0	25	50	100	Question	1	Department	University	,		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median		Department		Mean		STD	Median		University	Mean	ST	D	Median
16/22 (72.73%)	4.69	0.70	5.00		206		4.40		0.90	5.00		26102	4.25	1.0	1	5.00



Course: CPO2002-0002.su22: INTRO COMPARATV GOVT

13 - Overall rating for Harley	y Roe -														
Response Option		Weigl	ht Freque	ency	Percent	Р	ercent R	es	ponses			Me	ans		
Excellent		(5)	15	5	93.75%					4.88	П	4.60	4.40		
Above Satisfactory		(4)	0		0.00%										
Satisfactory		(3)	1		6.25%										
Below Satisfactory		(2)	0		0.00%										
Poor		(1)	0		0.00%	1									
		•		•		0	25	50	100	Questio	1	Department	University	y	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	D	epartment		Mean		STD	Median		University	Mean	STE	Median
16/22 (72.73%)	4.88	0.50	5.00		210		4.60		0.76	5.00		26643	4.40	0.97	5.00

14 - What is your year in school	?						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Ме	ans	
1st year	(1)	7	43.75%				
Sophomore	(2)	2	12.50%				
Junior	(3)	5	31.25%				
Senior	(4)	2	12.50%				
Grad/Other	(5)	0	0.00%]			
				0 25 50 100			
			Res	oonse Rate			
			16/2	2 (72.73%)			

15 - What is your cumulative GP	A?							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Response	es	Me	ans	
1.99 or less	(1)	0	0.00%	I				
2.0-2.49	(2)	0	0.00%]				
2.5-2.99	(3)	2	12.50%					
3.0-3.49	(4)	1	6.25%					
3.5-4.0	(5)	9	56.25%					
Not Applicable	(6)	4	25.00%					
	·			0 25 50 1	00			
			Res	ponse Rate				
			16/2	2 (72.73%)				

16 - What grade do you expect to	receive in this cou	rse?								
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent F	lespor	nses	Me	eans	
Α	(1)	9	56.25%							
В	(2)	5	31.25%							
С	(3)	0	0.00%	1						
D	(4)	0	0.00%	1						
F	(5)	0	0.00%	1						
Not Applicable	(6)	2	12.50%							
	·			0	25	50	100			
			Res	onse	Rate					
			16/2	2 (72.	73%)					



Course: CPO2002-0002.su22: INTRO COMPARATV GOVT

Instructor: Harley Roe *

Response Rate: 17/22 (77.27 %)

17 - Is this a required course fo	r you?									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent F	Respor	nses	Ме	ans	
Yes	(1)	12	75.00%							
No	(2)	4	25.00%							
				0	25	50	100			
			Res	oonse	Rate					
			16/2	2 (72.7	'3%)					

18 - What aspects of the course and/or Harley Roe's instructional methods have you found the most useful or valuable for learning? Please give examples. -

esponse Rate 9/22 (40.91%)

- The power points paired with the good lectures are the best part. All you will ever need to pass this course.
- He talks extremely clearly and truly explains the topics rather than just reading off the slides.
- His shown passion for the course clearly helps students engage and become excited for class which is the most valuable. His availability for office hours and to answer student questions are the most useful.
- Listening to students and what we needed helped a great deal! With things like the game theory, Professor Roe would go over it in videos or before we started a lecture because he knew it was a subject we needed more work on.
- · engaging teaching; passionate about subject matter.
- I appreciate the in depth walk throughs of the various game theories that were introduced into the class. I have learned about the game theories in a previous course, however, Professor Roe explained it better than my previous course did. I understood a lot of the topics that were covered and was able to apply it to current events.
- - daily lectures were interesting he went above and beyond with making sure that every student understood the content, especially the game theory portion of the class the quizzes and tests were very fair and matched up with the material (no curveballs) -instructions for the research project was very clear and i liked how we were able to select the journal articles we had to write about
- He knew the subject matter very well which helped in describing each topic to the students
- The fact he included the powerpoints to canvas

19 - What aspects of the course and/or Harley Roe's instructional methods could be improved that would enhance your learning? Please give examples. -

Response Rate 7/22 (31.82%)

- No criticism.
- · Honestly, I thought he was a great teacher and his teaching methods were awesome.
- n/a
- N/A
- N/A
- No instructional methods could be improved that would enhance my learning
- n/a

20 - Please list additional comments and/or suggestions.

Response Rate 5/22 (22.73%)

- · He was extremely helpful and always let us schedule office hours where he explained past material and helped so many of us.
- Thank you!!!
- Thank you so much for being a great instructor!
- · Harley Roe is a great teacher
- I just wish that attendance wasn't required as I've been able to learn the material pretty well but a 9 am class for 5 days a week is hard for me to do since I have other classes too. Because of that I don't know if I will receive a C or an A-